

### STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the time taken to discuss the present application with Applicant's representative, John Wakeley, Registration No. 60,418, in the interview conducted on November 24, 2008. During the interview the Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed certain features of independent Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 12, and 13, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0019671 (*Metz*), U.S. Patent No. 6,122,639 (*Babu et al.*), and the rejection of Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 12, and 13 set forth in the Office Action of October 31, 2008.

Specifically, Applicant's representative argued that *Metz* and *Babu et al.* fail to teach or suggest the "storage unit" recited in independent Claims 1 and 2, and the corresponding "storage step" recited in independent Claims 6, 9, 12, and 13. More particularly, Applicant's representative argued that paragraph 25 of *Metz* fails to teach or suggest that a main list 302 is associated with identification information that identifies a data processing apparatus that requested a search that caused the main list 302 to be generated. In response, the Examiner stated that this aspect of the invention is not recited clearly in the claims.